



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/771,805

02/04/2004

Clay Fisher

Sony-05900

3337

36813

7590

04/09/2008

O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP/ SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.  
400 CAPITOL MALL  
SUITE 1550  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

EXAMINER

DAYE, CHELCIE L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2161

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/09/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/771,805	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FISHER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHELCIE DAYE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2161	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 2161

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is issued in response to applicant's RCE filed January 14, 2008.
2. Claims 1-10 and 17-28 are presented. No claims added and claims 11-16 remain cancelled.
3. Claims 1-10 and 17-28 are pending.

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 14, 2008 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. **Claims 1-10 and 17-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lamkin (US Patent Application No. 20060159109).**

Regarding Claims 1,10,17,18, and 24-28, Lamkin discloses a method of tracking and synchronizing content across a plurality of client devices and a server (*"managing content that detects there is a change to content on a local network, determines whether the change is additional content on a first client device, determines whether the additional content can be identified, determines whether there is a predictive distribution scheme when the additional content is identified, distributes the additional content over the local network according the predictive distribution scheme when a predictive distribution scheme applies to the additional content, determines whether a new predictive distribution scheme can be defined when a predictive distribution scheme does not apply to the additional content, and saves the new predictive distribution scheme when a new predictive scheme can be defined"*) (see [0005])...*"detect new content on a first client device of a network; identify the new content; determine whether related content has been received on the network; determining a usage of the related content relative to being added to the network; and schedule a distribution of the new content over the network based on the determined usage of the related content"* (see [0006])...*"the process detects that new content is available over the network. This determination can be based on polling of devices on the network or receiving a notification from a client device that the client device includes new content. In many implementations of the network 120, one or more client devices are capable of notifying the server 122 of additional content, and other devices have to be polled by the server. A log file, the CDS, or other tracking can be utilized by the server to determine which devices are to be polled and when to poll devices. This tracking can be altered over time based on additions to the system, expected changes to client devices, history of detecting additional content at a client device and other such criteria"*(see [0072])...*"Some embodiments further manage content by providing content synchronization over the network 121 and/or remote network 140. Synchronizing content can provide a consistent view of content over the network when multiple copies of content exist over the network. The synchronization can update content when one copy of content is updated or altered. Similarly,*

*synchronization can delete, add, move or alter content based on changes of status of content on one or more devices of the network” (see [0132])), comprising:*

receiving new content within a request from a user ([0069], lines 8-11, Lamkin);

reviewing specific content within said new content in response to the request and comparing with duplicate or related content which is available across any of the multiple devices ([0073] and [0104], Lamkin)<sup>1</sup>;

performing the request and creating a new content record corresponding to said new content ([0118-0119], Lamkin);

automatically completing said new content record based on information contained in the specific content as well as information about the presence of duplicate or related content which is available across the multiple devices ([0140], Lamkin); and

updating the records of duplicate or related content with information about the specific content associated with said new content record to synchronize all the content records ([0132], Lamkin).

Regarding Claim 2, Lamkin discloses a method further comprising:

receiving a copy, delete, or print request from a user corresponding to said specific content wherein duplicates of said specific content, or related to said specific content, are retained across multiple devices configured for communicating with one another over a network ([0092], lines 1-6, Lamkin);

reviewing a record associated with the specific content in response to the request and analyzing the associated record to determine what duplicate or related content is available across the multiple devices ([0118] and [0139], Lamkin);

transmitting a confirmation for the request in response to detecting the presence of any duplicate or related content ([0123], lines 12-19, [0133], [0139], Lamkin); and

performing the request in response to receiving the request and instructions from the user in responding to said confirmation ([0123], lines 12-19, [0133], lines 37-39, [0139], Lamkin).

Regarding Claims 3,4, and 20, Lamkin discloses a method further comprising:

receiving a copy, delete, or print request from a user corresponding to said specific content wherein duplicates of said specific content, or related to said specific content, are retained across multiple devices configured for communicating with one another over a network ([0092], lines 1-6, Lamkin);

reviewing a record associated with the specific content in response to the request and analyzing the associated record to determine what duplicate or related content is available across the multiple devices ([0118] and [0139], Lamkin); and

---

<sup>1</sup> Examiner Notes: A further explanation of comparing the content with related content across multiple devices is explained at paragraph [0091].

determining utilization of any duplicate or related content based on a pre-established preference and the type of request which was received ([0157] and [0320], Lamkin).

Regarding Claims 5 and 19, the Lamkin discloses the method wherein said specific content includes one from the group of content items consisting of a photograph, music, a document, and a video ([0047], lines 3-6, Lamkin).

Regarding Claims 6 and 23, Lamkin discloses the method wherein each content record includes a field related content ([0091], Lamkin).

Regarding Claims 7 and 21, Lamkin discloses the method further comprising storing the preference in a storage device ([0049], Lamkin).

Regarding Claims 8 and 22, Lamkin discloses the method further comprising storing the record in a storage device ([0052], Lamkin).

Regarding Claim 9, Lamkin discloses the method wherein the confirmation asks the user for authorization for executing the request ([0123], Lamkin).

Art Unit: 2161

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to the newly amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Points of Contact***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chelcie Daye whose telephone number is 571-272-3891. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chelcie Daye  
Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2100  
April 3, 2008

/Apu M Mofiz/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161